

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	_
10/774,132	02/06/2004	Thomas J. Kennedy III	P-6023-2	8415	
23454	7590 09/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CALLAWAY GOLF COMPANY			GORDON, RAEANN		
2180 RUTHERFORD ROAD CARLSBAD, CA 92008-7328	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER	_	
0	, /		3711		

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>(</i>)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/774,132	KENNEDY, THOMAS J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raeann Gorden	3711
The MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutol - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of the state of th	☑ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal mat	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 5-7 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 5-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	۵۱ □ اسمه ا	summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-53) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	948) Paper No(:	s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/774,132

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

After further consideration the indicated allowability of claims 1 and 5-7 is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shibahashi et al (5,558,700) in view of Nakasuji et al (4,028,118) and Melvin et al (5,779,562). Shibahashi discloses a thermochromic paint composition for determining temperature applied to balls but does not specifically cite golf balls (col. 16). Nakasuji teaches thermochromic material may include liquid crystals or leuco dye. Melvin teaches a four piece golf ball which inherently includes a paint layer. One of ordinary skill in the art would have modified the ball cited in Shibahashi with a golf ball for enhanced playing features.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,132 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 571-272-4409. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs, Fri 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rg September 26, 2005

> RAEANN GORDEN PRIMARY EXAMINER